

PROPOSAL ACRONYM:

NAME OF THE APPLICANT:

NAME OF THE EXPERT:

- Comment on each sub criterion as a strength or weakness, or both in case that some part of the sub criterion is strong while another part is weak. For example: the description of the concept as such can be very good and well explained but the innovative aspect is unclear due to poor positioning against the state of the art.
- Based on strengths and weaknesses (not only number but also how major it is) define a score between 0 and 5 (see guide for evaluators for the scoring) and use 0.1 units.
- A threshold applies on each criterion. If for at least one criterion the proposal fails to achieve the threshold, the proposal cannot be taken into account for funding.
- Each criterion has a weighting in the overall score: Excellence and impact each count for 40 points and implementation counts for 20 points in the overall score of 100 points.

I. EXCELLENCE. Threshold 3.5/5, weight in overall score 40%	SCORE	X.X/5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Soundness of the objectives. Comment on the objectives reflecting the aim of the research project; are they quantified and measurable and do they show a clear step beyond the state of the art. ▪ Is the concept innovative and discussed against the state of the art, is it timely and is it multidisciplinary? ▪ Quality of the research methodology, it is feasible within the 2 year framework of this project and is it well linked with the work packages and tasks discussed in section 3.1. 		
Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ XXX Weaknesses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ XXX 		
II. IMPACT. Threshold 4.0/5, weight in overall score 40%	SCORE	X.X/5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Analyse the expected impact on the applicant's career in terms of training experience, experience in entrepreneurship, research experience on an innovative topic and its opportunities in both the academic as well as non-academic sector. ▪ Quality of the dissemination proposed and its expected impact. ▪ Quality of the exploitation proposed and its expected impact. ▪ Quality of the communication proposed and its expected impact. 		
Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ XXX Weaknesses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ XXX 		
III. IMPLEMENTATION. Threshold 3.5/5, weight in overall score 20%	SCORE	X.X/5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Quality of the work plan, its structure, logical approach, interrelationship between the WPs ▪ Quality of the WPs and tasks described. ▪ Clear, relevant and measurable deliverables and milestones defined? ▪ Quality of the selected research group at VUB for this project in terms of available expertise, critical mass and required infrastructure. 		
Strengths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ XXX Weaknesses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ XXX 		